

United States Patent and Trademark Office

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/520,015 12/29/2004 | | Hideki Kawamura | 36856.1313 | 2628 |
| * | 12/29/2004 Hideki Kawamura 36856.1313 2628 7590 04/04/2007 MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD. ING & BENNETT, LLP NSBORO DRIVE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| C/O KEATING & BENNETT, LLP | | | TAKAOKA, DEAN O | |
| 8180 GREENS SUITE 850 | BORO DRIVE | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MO | NTHS | 04/04/2007 | PAF | PER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | 10/520,015 | KAWAMURA, HIDEKI |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Dean O. Takaoka | 2817 |
| The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply | is communication app | ears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of | OM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ate of this communication. the maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, a three months after the mailing | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become a | reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | | |
| Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is included in accordance with the communication. | 2b)⊠ This n condition for allowan | action is non-final. | tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-42</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allo 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,18-20,28,29,</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,17,21-27,30,</u> 8) □ Claim(s) are subjection | is/are withdraw owed. <u>32-34 and 42</u> is/are re <u>31 and 35-41</u> is/are ob | vn from consideration. ejected. ejected to | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| | <u>O December 2004</u> is/ar hat any objection to the c t(s) including the correcti | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)[drawing(s) be held in abeya on is required if the drawin | • |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| • | None of: the priority documents the priority documents fied copies of the priori e International Bureau | s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) | n | Λ □ Indoor to o | Summan (DTO 442) |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | ing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application |

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 15, 18, 19, 28, 29, 32, 33 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ruby et al. (US 6,472,954).

Claims 15 and 29.

Ruby shows a branching filter comprising: a transmitting filter; and a receiving filter; wherein piezoelectric thin film resonators defining the transmitting filter (68) and the receiving filter (73) and including at least one piezoelectric thin film sandwiched between at least one pair of opposed electrodes are arranged in a ladder configuration on an opening or a recess of a substrate (Fig. 5), the transmitting filter and the receiving filter being connected to an antenna terminal in parallel; and the piezoelectric thin film resonators defining the transmitting filter and the piezoelectric thin film resonators defining the receiving filter are made of different materials from each other (c8, lns 33-35).

Claims 18 and 32.

Wherein the material of the electrodes is different between the piezoelectric thin film resonators defining the transmitting filter and the piezoelectric thin film resonators

Art Unit: 2817

defining the receiving filter (c8, lns 33-35 as drawn to electrode layers lns 18-28).

Claims 19 and 33.

Wherein the acoustic impedance of the material of the electrodes is different between the piezoelectric thin film resonators defining the transmitting filter and the piezoelectric thin film resonators defining the receiving filter (inherent where Ruby teaches weighted thicknesses of the electrode stack inherently providing different acoustic impedances – c7, lns 5-14).

Claims 28 and 42.

A communication device comprising the branching filter (Fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruby et al.

Claims 20 and 34.

Ruby teaches the branching filter above comprising transmitting and receiving filters wherein the frequency of the pass band of the receiving filter is higher than the frequency of the pass band of the transmitting filter (c2, lns 55 and 67 where Tx = 1880MHz and Rx = 1960MHz) but is silent where the acoustic impedance of the

Art Unit: 2817

material of the electrodes defining the receiving filter is higher than the acoustic impedance of the material of the electrodes defining the transmitting filter.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the electrodes disclosed by Ruby such as claimed where the modification would have been obvious where Ruby teaches the electrode materials of the Tx and Rx filters may be different (c8, lns 33-35), further teaching desired coupling coefficients for the Tx and Rx filters (Tx c7, lns 57-60 and Rx c8, lns 14-17), thus suggesting the obviousness of the modification.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16, 17, 21 - 27, 30, 31, and 35 - 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean O. Takaoka whose telephone number is (571) 272-1772. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30a - 5:00p Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 2817

Page 5

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dot

March 27, 2007